BOOK REVIEW

Review of The China-US partnership to Prevent Spina Bifida – The Evolution of a Landmark Epidemiological Study, by Deborah Kowal" ^a

Zhuo (Adam) Chen, Ph.D. M.S. M.Mgt.

CHENZHUO@GMAIL.COM

China Research Center
China Health Policy and Management Society

简介: Deborah Kowal 所著《中美合作小儿脊椎裂预防项目——个重要流行病学研究的演变》一书介绍了中美合作开展社区预防小儿脊椎裂的研究及其中的一些逸事,并为有兴趣开展类似研究的学者提供不少中美合作的相关经验。书中也提到叶酸干预的成本效益分析。美中不足是缺少一个中英文姓名对照。

Let me say it right here: *The China-US Partnership to Prevent Spina Bifida – The Evolution of a* Landmark *Epidemiological Study*, by Deborah Kowal, is a monumental work. And here is why.

The book is a vivid account of a large epidemiological study spanning roughly sixteen years, from the conversation that started the project in 1983 to the first published results in 1999. There were new cultural and physical environments to get used to, bureaucratic hurdles to overcome, and miscommunications to clear up. The dedicated public health professionals from the U.S. and China overcame these issues in order to achieve the common goal of preventing neural tube defects (NTDs), which can happen early in a pregnancy. All this is chronicled in the book, along with revealing anecdotes and side-stories. Kowal, for example, notes how The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) visitors reacted to hearing the American cowboy song "Home on the Range" played on Chinese instruments — namely, "strange and eerily out of place."

The book starts with a conversation between an American doctor from the CDC, the premier public health agency in the United States, and a Chinese doctor who was trained at the Peking Union Medical School, one of the most prestigious medical schools in China. They note the unusual rate of spina bifida and anencephaly in China and an opportunity to study the epidemiology of neural tube defects, which later materialize as the China-U.S. Collaborative Project for NTD Prevention. As the story unfolds, the Chinese collaborators are puzzled by the CDC budgeting and funding process, and the scale of participation and coordination in China surprise the CDC researchers. The Chinese researchers learn new concepts such as informed consent and Institutional Review Board protocol, while their American counterparts acculturate to their host country, consuming potent rice liquor and strange foods. Given the project is a unique collaboration between teams from two very different countries, unexpected developments

^a Note that this book review was initially published in China Currents, 2016, Volume 1. This is republished with approval from the China Research Center, publisher of the China Currents.

ZHUO CHEN

in domestic and international politics always lurk and indeed pose serious risks to the project at times.

Aside from paying homage to those who have made critical contribution to the project, this book is a thorough account of the epidemiology study, providing more information and lessons learned to epidemiologists and economists than the author may realize. The detailed information, gathered by the author from the original documents and personal narratives, can help those who are interested in conducting and evaluating similar studies to be prepared for pitfalls, to avoid complications, and to learn better ways to manage both people and project.

As an economist, I appreciate Kowal's description of the cost-benefit equation. Just a year after the Tiananmen crackdown, 1990 was not the best time for collaborative projects between public institutions from the U.S. and China. The U.S. Congress, however, approved the funding for this project in China, partly due to the cost-benefit considerations. From a practical standpoint, this was a bargain for the U.S. The project would have cost much more if it had been carried out in the United States. But the investment was an even bigger bargain when we consider how many children it enabled to grow up healthy and whole. In health economists' jargon, this is an economic evaluation with a societal perspective that led to positive policy change.

As fine as the book is, there is something that could be done differently. Many people contributed greatly to the project, and Kowal provides a complete list. But there is no bilingual index of names, something that could pose a challenge to readers interested in knowing more about the Chinese researchers. The Chinese language contains a large number of homophones, and it could be difficult to locate the exact Chinese names if the readers are not familiar with the players.

Despite that minor flaw, anyone interested in learning more about the collaboration between China and the United States in public health will find this book highly informative. Those interested international studies likewise will gain invaluable insights. A reader's time will be well spent.